IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO. 17475 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION : 6.11.2006

Sarika and another

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S.BEDI

PRESENT: Mr.Dalip Mahajan, advocate for petitioners.

M.M.KUMAR,J.

The prayer made by the petitioner in the instant writ petition is for issuance of a direction to the respondents that the petitioners be appointed on the post of J.B.T. Teacher on contract basis in preference to one Veena Rani shown in the selection list. It is the case of the petitioners that the marks obtained by them are more than the aforementioned Veena Rani and still their names do not figure in the selection list.

The petitioners are not in a position to apprise the Court about the percentage of marks obtained by them. In the selection list also the names of the petitioners do not figure. The petitioners have approached this Court without lodging their grievance to the respondents.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it

just and appropriate to direct the petitioners to first file a detailed representation making assertions that the marks obtained by them are much more than the selected candidates. Let the representation be made within two weeks under registered A.D. Cover to the respondents, which shall be decided within a period of two weeks from the date of its receipt.

The petitioners shall also be at liberty to secure information from the Commissioner Information, under the Right to Information Act,2005. After obtaining information of the facts, the same may be placed before this Court or after the decision of the representation, the petitioners may approach this Court again, if such a necessity arises.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(M.M.KUMAR)
JUDGE

November 6 ,2006
TSM

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE